

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/973,911	10/11/2001	Won Kim	MRE-0034	7464	
34610 75	90 05/20/2003				
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2212 CHANTILLY,			BRATLIE, S	TEVEN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 973911	Applicant(s)	in, eta	6/			
Office Action Summary	Examiner BRATE	ZIE	Group Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence ad	Idress			
Period for Response	_	•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONT	H(S) FROM THE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defaul Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statuto t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of the from the mailing	hirty (30) days will be o	considered timely.			
Status							
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•			
☐ This action is FINAL .							
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 0 			the merits is clos	sed in			
Disposition of Claims							
MClaim(s)	is/are	is/are pending in the application.					
Claim(s) Of the above claim(s)	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)							
Claim(s) /- 6							
☐ Claim(s)							
☐ Claim(s)	are su	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers		require	ement.				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	I to by the Examiner.						
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.		(d). ave been					
☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern		Rule 1 7.2(a)).	<u> </u>				
*Certified copies not received:							
Attachment(s)		-	-				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) □ Ir	nterview Sumr	nary, PTO-413				
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			nal Patent Applicat	ion, PTO-152			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948				•			
/ '	ction Summary						

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 3652

- 1. The drawings are objected to because draftsman report. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: "has been very troublesome", "there are a motor", "there are a follower shaft".
- 3. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For example:

- A. Claim 2 "the motor" no proper antecedent basis; and
- B. Claim 5 "the step".
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,911

Art Unit: 3652

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Missing in view of Kieronski et al, Ashlock Jr. and Yutaka et al.

Missing discloses a substantially similar automatic loader including sloped floor, motor #3 and return means #6 (Fig. 10). Missing lacks a rotary return means. Kieronski et al element #67 and Ashlock, Jr. element #94 disclose rotary return means. It would have been obvious to a mechanic with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a rotary return means. The motivation is the known use of equivalents. It is apparent the above device could be used with Yutaka et al testing apparatus.

- 7. Schwarze is cited to show similar structure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bratlie whose telephone number is (703) 308-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00. Friday is the examiner's day off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

Bratlie/kn May 15, 2003 Steven a, brathé

STEVEN A. BRATLIE PRIMARY EXAMINER